

Presentation to the Alaska Legislature

June 20, 2008

All-Alaska Project

- Mitsubishi global LNG player
 - Involved in Kenai for 40 years
 - Very familiar with Alaska LNG
 - Want to add ANS gas to portfolio
- Mitsubishi/AGPA relationship
 - 1 year relationship with AGPA
 - Mitsubishi wanted to follow AGIA process and findings before committing
 - Agreement finalized this week
- Contingent on AGIA not diminishing Mitsubishi's ability to advance project
 - Other participants expected

All-Alaska Project

- Base case project volume 2.7 bcf/d
 - Less gas required at start-up
 - Expansion as reserves proven up
- First Gas to Alaskans
- Timing
 - 2017 not 2020
 - And 2017 ignores YPC permits

AGIA LNG Findings

Major Areas of Disagreement

- Project economics
- Cost of liquefaction
- Initial project volumes
- Expansion
- ANS btu content
- Value added
- Alaska jobs
- Jones Act
- LNG is "complex"

LNG Export Authorization Granted

- DOE Export Analysis
 - Presumption of export
 - Allow market to work
 - Balance of payments
- Valdez Export License (DOE Order 350 & 350-A)
 - Japan, Korea & Taiwan
 - 14 MTA for 25 years, starting at first shipment
 - TransCanada only opposing party
 - "Exxon urged ... market-responsive development of Alaskan natural gas" and DOE not to "place a stamp of approval on only one project or approach to development of Alaskan resources and discourage other projects or approaches."

Canadian Permitting Risk – Bennet Jones Report

Delay

- Environmental (NPA vs. Newer Laws)
- First Nations
- NPA exclusivity to TransCanada
- Mackenzie goes first
- Hairball

Way Forward

• Our overarching principle

- All Alaska/LNG leg should not wait on resolution of Canadian issues
- TC must continue to advance LNG on parity with Canadian option until successful open season, or LNG freed from AGIA (i.e., no exclusivity)
- Don't close door on LNG options:
 - Written Clarification from TransCanada and State; or
 - Amend AGIA; or
 - Don't approve exclusive license